

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ROBERT CHARLES PARADIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ERIC JACKSON,

Defendant.

Case No. C16-876 JLR-BAT

**REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

Plaintiff Robert Charles Paradis filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights complaint alleging defendants violated his constitutional rights when they requested payment for shipment of his excess property after he was transferred to another facility. Dkt. 3. Around the time he filed his lawsuit, Mr. Paradis wrote to Defendant Captain Paula Chandler, grieving the detention of his excess property at the Monroe Correctional Complex's Twin Rivers Unit (MCC/TRU). Dkt. 13, Exhibit 1, Declaration of Paula Chandler at ¶ 4. Captain Chandler responded on June 14, 2016, informing Mr. Paradis that a chaplain and a law librarian had examined his property and that legal documents related to active legal matters with court imposed deadlines, as well as religious items, were identified and were being shipped to him at Department of Corrections ("DOC") expense. *Id.*, Exhibit 1 ¶¶ 7-9, Attachment C.

On December 1, 2016, Mr. Paradis filed a motion for a temporary restraining order enjoining defendants from either destroying or donating his excess property. Dkt. 11.

1 Specifically, Mr. Paradis identifies the boxes of property that are the subject of two DOC 90 day  
2 notices, dated March 11, 2016 and October 29, 2016. *Id.* According to Captain Chandler, Mr.  
3 Paradis's excess property is currently being held at the request of legal counsel pending the  
4 outcome of this litigation and the property will be neither destroyed nor donated. Dkt. 13,  
5 Exhibit 1, Chandler Decl., ¶ 11.

### 6 DISCUSSION

7 "A preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy never awarded as of right". *Winter*  
8 *v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008). To obtain a preliminary injunction, a  
9 party must demonstrate that: (1) he is likely to succeed on the merits; (2) he will likely suffer  
10 irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; (3) the balance of equities tips in his favor;  
11 (4) an injunction is in the public interest. *See Winter*, 555 U.S. at 20. A party must show more  
12 than a possibility of irreparable injury to obtain preliminary relief. *Id.* at 22. The Ninth Circuit  
13 has articulated a variation of this test for situations where there are serious questions going to the  
14 merits and the balance of hardships tips sharply in favor of the plaintiff, so long as the irreparable  
15 harm and public interest requirements are also met. *Lopez v. Brewer*, 680 F.3d 1068, 1072 (9th  
16 Cir. 2012).

17 The record reflects that Mr. Paradis's excess property presently being held at MCC  
18 contains no documents related to legal matters with court imposed deadlines or items identifiable  
19 as religious in nature as those items were removed from Mr. Paradis's excess property and sent  
20 to him at DOC expense. Dkt. 13, Exhibit 1, Chandler Decl., at ¶¶ 6–9. In addition, defendants  
21 represent to this Court that none of Mr. Paradis's excess property will be donated or destroyed  
22 during the pendency of this lawsuit. Dkt. 13, Exhibit 1, Chandler Decl., at ¶ 11.  
23

1 As the terms of the requested injunctive relief have already been carried out, the issue of  
2 whether the motion for preliminary injunction should issue is now moot. *Univ. of Texas v.*  
3 *Camenisch*, 451 U.S. 390, 398 (1981). The Court recommends that the motion for temporary  
4 restraining order (Dkt. 11) be **denied as moot**.

### 5 **OBJECTIONS AND APPEAL**

6 This Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order. Therefore a notice of  
7 appeal seeking review in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit should not be filed until the  
8 assigned District Judge enters a judgment in the case.

9 Objections, however, may be filed and served upon all parties no later than **Thursday,**  
10 **January 12, 2017**. The Clerk should note the matter for **Tuesday, January 17, 2017**, as ready  
11 for the District Judge's consideration if no objection is filed. If objections are filed, any response  
12 is due within 14 days after being served with the objections. A party filing an objection must  
13 note the matter for the Court's consideration 14 days from the date the objection is filed and  
14 served. The matter will then be ready for the Court's consideration on the date the response is  
15 due. Objections and responses shall not exceed twelve pages. The failure to timely object may  
16 affect the right to appeal.

17 DATED this 23rd day of December 2016.

18 

19 

---

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
20 United States Magistrate Judge  
21  
22  
23